LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

> 877.534.2590 FAX 310.247.0160 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1040 KINGS HIGHWAY NORTH, STE 650 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034. 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE 510 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

July 5, 2017

President/CEO	Soxnet, Inc.
Soxnet, Inc.	c/o Miri P Ryu, Agent for Service of Process
235 South 6th Avenue	235 South 6th Avenue
La Puente, CA, 91746	La Puente, CA, 91746
Administrator	Executive Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Regional Water Quality Control Board
Mail Code: 1101A	Los Angeles Region
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20460	Los Angeles, CA 90013
Regional Administrator	Executive Director
U.S. EPA, Region 9	State Water Resources Control Board
75 Hawthorne Street	1001 I Street
San Francisco, CA 94105	Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit under the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents Personal Privacy 6 a citizen of the State of California. This letter is to give notice that Brodsky Smith, on Personal Privacy 6 behalf, intends to file a civil action against Soxnet, Inc. ("Soxnet") for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") at Soxnet's facility located 235 South 6th Ave., La Puente, CA 91746 (the "Facility").

Personal Privals is a citizen of the State of California who is concerned with the environmental health of the San Gabriel River, uses and enjoys the waters of the San Gabriel River, its inflows, and other areas of the overall San Gabriel River Watershed, of which the San Gabriel River is a part. Personal Privals use and enjoyment of these waters are negatively affected by the pollution caused by Soxnet's operations. Additionally, Personal Privals acts in the interest of the general public to prevent pollution in these waterways, for the benefit of their ecosystems, and for the benefits of all individuals and communities who use these waterways for various recreational, educational, and spiritual purposes.

This letter addresses Soxnet's unlawful operation of a "Light Industry" facility¹ without proper coverage under General Permit No CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Order

¹ "Light Industry" facilities are included in the category of "Manufacturing Facilities" defined in the Industrial Stormwater Permit as "Facilities with Standard Industrial Classifications (SICs) 20XX through 39XX, 5221 through 4225." See, Industrial Stormwater Permit, Attachment A, Category 2.

No. 2014-0057-DWQ (the "Industrial Stormwater Permit").² Furthermore, by operating in violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Soxnet's Facility discharges stormwater, which likely contains pollutants from the Facility's industrial activities, via indirect flow into the San Gabriel River and the overall San Gabriel River Watershed. Specifically, investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and continuous violations of the CWA and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Orders No. 2014-0057-DWQ (the "Industrial Stormwater Permit").³

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of his or her intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur. As required by section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to Soxnet of the violations that have occurred and which continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and the Intent to File Suit, personal Privacy intends to file suit in federal court against Soxnet under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

During the 60-day notice period, personal privacy is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations noticed in this letter. We suggest that Soxnet contact personal privacy at the suggest that Soxnet contact twenty (20) days so that these discussions may be completed by the conclusion of the 60-day notice period. Please note that we do not intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court, and service of the complaint shortly thereafter, even if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

I. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

A. The Facility

Soxnet's Facility is located at 235 South 6th Ave., La Puente, CA 91746. At the Facility, Soxnet operates as an international manufacturer of quality fashion legwear, in which 90% of their products are customized, designed, and produced from this location. The Facility's industrial activities fall under Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") Code 2252, relating to the knitting, dyeing, and finishing of hosiery, placing it in Category 2, Manufacturing Facilities, required to obtain coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See, Industrial Stormwater Permit, Attachment A, Category 2. In addition, the aforementioned industrial processes occurring relates to the knitting, dyeing, and finishing of hosiery. Other activities likely carried out in the regular course of business at the facility include storage of fuel and other oils, maintenance, equipment storage, and waste storage. Repair and maintenance activities carried out at the facility include, but are not limited to, electrical, plumbing, roofing, asphalt, concrete, and utilities repairs as well as janitorial duties. Possible pollutants from the Facility include pH, Oil & Grease ("O & G"), total suspended solids ("TSS"), waste oils, lubricants, fuel, trash, debris, hazardous materials, heavy metals, and other pollutants. Stormwater from the Facility discharges, indirectly, into the San Gabriel River.

² While "Light Industry" facilities where industrial materials, equipment, or activates were not exposed to stormwater were not required to have coverage prior to July 1, 2015, under Permit No CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Order 92-12-DWQ (as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ) (the "Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit"), the requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit as effective on July 1, 2015 now require all such facilities to obtain coverage.

³ On April 1, 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted an updated NPDES General Permit for Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ, which has taken force or effect on its effective date of July 1, 2015. As of the effective date, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ has superseded and rescinded the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit except for purposes of enforcement actions brought pursuant to the prior permit.

B. The Affected Water

The San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed are waters of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the San Gabriel River and overall the San Gabriel River Watershed meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The beneficial uses of the San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed include commercial and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, fish migration, navigation, preservation of rare and endangered species, water contact and noncontact recreation, shellfish harvesting, fish spawning, and wildlife habitat. Contaminated stormwater from the Facility adversely affects the water quality of the San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed, and threatens the beneficial uses and ecosystem of these watersheds, which includes habitats for threatened and endangered species.

II. THE FACILITY'S VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

It is unlawful to discharge pollutants to waters of the United States, such as the San Gabriel River, without an NPDES permit or in violation of the terms and conditions of an NPDES permit. CWA § 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a); see also CWA § 402(p), 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p) (requiring NPDES permit issuance for the discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activities). The Industrial Stormwater Permit authorizes certain discharges of stormwater, conditioned on compliance with its terms.

Information available to Personal Private indicates that Soxnet has not obtained coverage for stormwater discharge from the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, and therefore, stormwater discharges from the Facility have violated several terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA. Apart from discharges that comply with the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the Facility is in violation of the CWA every time it discharges stormwater into waters of the United States.

A. Discharges in Excess of BAT/BCT Levels

The Effluent Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit the discharge of pollutants from the Facility in concentrations above the level commensurate with the application of best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") for toxic pollutants⁴ and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT") for conventional pollutants.⁵ Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(32), II(D)(2). The EPA has published Benchmark values set at the maximum pollutant concentration present if an industrial facility is employing BAT and BCT, as listed in Attachment 1 to this letter.⁶ These benchmark values are reiterated and incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2.

In addition, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's 2008 Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "2008 MSGP")". See Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(33). The 2008 MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Furthermore, these SIC code based benchmark values are reiterated and incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2. Notably, Soxnet is classified as falling under several SIC Code 2252 categories, relating to the knitting, dyeing, and finishing of

⁴ BAT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq*. Toxic pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.15 and include copper, lead, and zinc, among others.

⁵ BCT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 et seq. Conventional pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.16 and include BOD, TSS, oil and grease, pH, and fecal coliform.

⁶ The Benchmark values are part of the EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP") and can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2008_finalpermit.pdf. See 73 Fed. Reg. 56, 572 (Sept. 29, 2008) (Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges From Industrial Activities).

hosiery, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations for (i) pH; (ii) Oil and Grease; and (iii) Total Suspended Solids. Based on the Facility's lack of coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Soxnet has not met this requirement and has been in violation of the Industrial Period since July 1, 2015.

The Facility's lack of coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit has resulted in Soxnet's failure to adequately monitor numerical pollutant discharge values for every instance of stormwater discharge since July 1, 2015. This lack of coverage and subsequent inadequate self-monitoring indicate that Soxnet has failed and is failing to employ measures that constitute BAT and BCT in violation of the requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit.

Soxnet's ongoing discharges of stormwater from the Facility without proper coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and subsequent lack of monitoring of pollutant discharge values have likely led to Soxnet discharging stormwater containing levels of pollutants above EPA Benchmark values and BAT and BCT based levels of control, and further demonstrate that Soxnet has not developed and implemented sufficient Best Management Practices ("BMPs") at the Facility. Proper BMPs could include, but are not limited to, moving certain pollution-generating activities under cover or indoors capturing and effectively filtering or otherwise treating all stormwater prior to discharge, frequent sweeping to reduce build-up of pollutants on-site, installing filters on downspouts and storm drains, and other similar measures.

Soxnet's failure to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, and develop and/or implement adequate pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT at the Facility violates, and will continue to violate, the CWA and the Industrial Stormwater Permit each and every day Soxnet's discharges stormwater without meeting BAT/BCT.

Personal Private alleges that Soxnet has discharged stormwater containing excessive levels of pollutants from the Facility to the San Gabriel River during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches since July 1, 2015.7 Attachment 3 compiles all dates since July 1, 2015 when a significant rain event occurred. Soxnet is subject to civil penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA since July 1, 2015.

B. Discharges Impairing Receiving Waters

The Industrial Stormwater Permit's Discharge Prohibitions disallow stormwater discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § III. The Industrial Stormwater Permit also prohibits stormwater discharges to surface or groundwater that adversely impact human health or the environment. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(b)-(c). Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit stormwater discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") contained in a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan or the applicable Regional Water Board's Basin Plan. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(a). Applicable WQS are set forth in the California Toxic Rule ("CTR")⁸ and Chapter 3 of the Los Angeles Region (Region 4) Water Quality Control Plan (the "Basin Plan"). See Attachment 1. Exceedances of WQS are violations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the CTR, and the Basin Plan.

The Basin Plan establishes WQS for all Inland Surface and Coastal waters of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties, including but not limited to the following:

 Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial users.

⁷ Significant local rain events are reflected in the rain gauge data available at: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search.

⁸ The CTR is set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 and is explained in the Federal Register preamble accompanying the CTR promulgation set forth at 65 Fed. Reg. 31, 682 (May 18, 2000).

⁹ The Basin Plan is published by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/basin_plan_documentation.s

- Waters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial
 uses. Increases in natural turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not
 exceed 20% where natural turbidity is between 0 and 50 nephelometric turbidity units ("NTU"),
 and shall not exceed 10% where the natural turbidity is greater than 50 NTU.
- All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in, human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

Receiving Water Limitations in the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the WQS set forth in the Basin Plan and CTR. These allegations are based on the Facility's lack of coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and discharges of stormwater during such period. These un-covered stormwater discharges indicate that Soxnet's discharges are causing or threatening to cause pollution, contamination, and/or nuisance; adversely impacting human health or the environment; and violating applicable WQS.

appropriate coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit Soxnet's stormwater has and/or may have contained levels of pollutants that exceeded one or more of the Receiving Water Limitations and/or applicable WQS in the San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed. Personal Private alleges that Soxnet has discharged stormwater exceeding Receiving Water Limitations and/or WQS from the Facility to the San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches since July 1, 2015. See Attachment 3. Each discharge from the Facility that violates a Receiving Water Limitation or has caused or contributed, or caused or contributes, to an exceedance of an applicable WQS constitutes a separate violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA Soxnet is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA since July 1, 2015.

C. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to develop and implement an adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to make all necessary revisions to existing SWPPPs promptly. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B).

The SWPPP must include, among other requirements, the following: a site map, a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site, a description and assessment of all Soxnet pollutant sources, a description of the BMPs that will reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater discharges, specification of BMPs designed to reduce pollutant discharge to BAT and BCT levels, a comprehensive site compliance evaluation completed each reporting year, and revisions to the SWPPP within 90 days after a facility manager determines that the SWPPP is in violation of any requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(A).

As Soxnet has failed to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, alleges and informs Soxnet that it has failed to prepare and/or implement an adequate SWPPP and has therefore failed to satisfy each of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit.

Accordingly, Soxnet has violated the CWA each and every day that it has failed to develop and/or implement an adequate SWPPP meeting all of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, and Soxnet will continue to be in violation every day until it obtains coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and develops and implements an adequate SWPPP. Soxnet is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring since July 1, 2015.

D. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program and to Perform Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluations

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to develop and implement a Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MRP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI. The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires that MRP ensure that each the facility's stormwater discharges comply with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations specified in the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Id. Facility operators must ensure that their MRP practices reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges as well as evaluate and revise their practices to meet changing conditions at the facility. Id. This may include revising the SWPPP as required by § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit.

The MRP must measure the effectiveness of BMPs used to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges, and facility operators must revise the MRP whenever appropriate. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI. The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to visually observe and collect samples of stormwater discharges from all drainage areas. Id. Facility operators are also required to provide an explanation of monitoring methods describing how the facility's monitoring program will satisfy these objectives. Id.

As Soxnet has failed to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Soxnet has been operating the Facility with an inadequately developed and/or inadequately implemented MRP, in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements set forth in Section B of the Industrial Stormwater permit.

Additionally, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's 2008 Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "2008 MSGP")". The 2008 MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Furthermore, these SIC code based benchmark values are reiterated and incorporated into the Industrial Stormwater Permit. See Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2. Notably, Soxnet is classified as falling under SIC Code 2252, relating to the knitting, dyeing, and finishing of hosiery, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations for (i) pH; (ii) Oil and Grease; and (iii) Total Suspended Solids. As previously stated, and in clear violation of the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Soxnet has consistently failed to adequately monitor its stormwater discharges since July 1, 2015 due the Facility's lack of coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Therefore, Soxnet has not effectively identified or responded to compliance problems at the Facility or resulted in effective revision of any such BMPs in use to address such ongoing problems as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI.

As a part of the MRP, the Industrial Stormwater Permit specifies that Facility operators shall collect a total of four (4) stormwater samples throughout an annual reporting period. Specifically the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires, "The discharger to collect and analyze samples from two (2) Qualifying Storm Events ('QSE's) within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30)." Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI B(2). Furthermore, should facility operators fail to collect samples from the first storm event of the wet season, they are still required to collect samples from two other storm events during the wet season, and explain in the annual report why the first storm event was not sampled. *Id.* Due to Soxnet's failure to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Soxnet has not conducted any stormwater sampling whatsoever since July 1, 2015.

As a result of Soxnet's failure to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and its subsequent failure to adequately develop and/or implement an adequate MRP at the Facility, Soxnet has been in daily and continuous violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA each and every day since July 1, 2015. These violations are ongoing. Soxnet will continue to be in violation of the monitoring and reporting requirement each day that Soxnet fails to obtain coverage under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and fails to adequately develop and/or implement an effective MRP at the Facility. Soxnet

is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring since July 1, 2015.

E. Unpermitted Discharges

Section 301(a) of the CWA prohibits the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States unless the discharge is authorized by a NPDES Permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA. See 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342.

Notably, Soxnet has failed to obtain coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Any discharge from an industrial facility not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit "must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit." Industrial Stormwater Permit, § III. Notably, as Soxnet has not obtained coverage under either the Industrial Stormwater Permit or a separate NPDES, each and every discharge from the Facility described herein is in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit has constituted and will continue to constitute a discharge without CWA Permit coverage in violation of section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

Notably, Plaintiff informs Soxnet that stormwater discharges from the Facility to the San Gabriel River and overall San Gabriel River Watershed are likely to have occurred during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches since July 1, 2015, at the locations described below in Attachment 2. See Attachments 2, 3.

IV. PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS

Soxnet, Inc. is the person responsible of the violations at the Facility described above.

V. NAME AND ADDRESS OF NOTICING PARTY

Personal Privacy 6

Whittier, CA 90606 Personal Privacy 6

VI. COUNSEL

Evan J. Smith, Esquire
esmith@brodskysmith.com
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire
rcardona@brodskysmith.com
Brodsky & Smith, LLC
9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
T: (877) 534-2590
F: (310) 247-0160

VII. REMEDIES

Personal Private intends, at the close of the 60-day notice period or thereafter, to file a citizen suit under CWA section 505(a) against Soxnet for the above-referenced violations. Personal Private will seek declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent further CWA violations pursuant to CWA sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), and such other relief as permitted by law. In addition, Personal Private will seek civil penalties pursuant to CWA section 309(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, against Soxnet in this action. The CWA imposes civil penalty liability of up to \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring after January 12, 2009. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4. Personal Private will seek to recover attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and costs in accordance with CWA section 505(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d).

As noted above, Parsonal Private and his Counsel are willing to meet with you during the 60-day notice period to discuss effective remedies for the violations noted in this letter. Please contact me to initiate these discussions.

Sincerely,

Evan J. Smith, Esquire esmith@brodskysmith.com

Ryan P. Cardona, Esq.

rcardona@brodskysmith.com

Brodsky & Smith, LLC

9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

Beverly Hills, CA T: (877) 534-2590

F: (310) 247-0160

ATTACHMENT 1

EPA BENCHMARKS AND WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR DISCHARGES TO FRESHWATER

A. EPA Benchmarks, 2008 Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP"); Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B), Tables 1-2

Parameter	Units	Benchmark Value	Source
рН	pH Units	Less than 6.0 Greater than 9.0 (Instantaneous)	2008 MSGP; Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2
Oil & Grease	Mg/L	25 (Instantaneous) 15 (Annual)	2008 MSGP; Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2
Total Suspended Solids	Mg/L	400 (Instantaneous) 100 (Annual)	2008 MSGP; Industrial Stormwater Permit § XI(B) Tables 1-2

B. Water Quality Standards – Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements (40 CFR Part 131.38 (California Toxics Rule or CTR), May 18, 2000)

Parameter Units		Water Quality Objectives		Source
	4- Day Average	1-Hr Average		
Lead	Mg/L	0.0081	0.21	40 CFR Part 131.38
Zinc	Mg/L	0.081	0.090	40 CFR Part 131.38

ATTACHMENT 2

LIKELY LOCATIONS AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS OF UNPERMITTED POLLUTANT AND STORMWATER DISCHARGE FROM SOXNET'S FACILITY

The following table contains descriptions of the likely locations and contributing factors of unpermitted pollutant and stormwater discharge from Soxnet's Facility.

Location	Description	
Discharge Point: Two Drains on S 6th Ave	There are two submerged pipes coming from the SE side of the property that discharge on S 6th Ave where rainwater and materials channel into an inlet located down the street.	
Drainage Point: East Parking Lot Ditch	There is a natural ditch made of concrete in the parking lot between the Facility and 227 S 6th Ave that collects the rainfall from the entire E side of the property and downspouts and channels out the runoff into a grated inlet on the property near the entrance to the Facility.	
Detention Basin on Property	In the North West corner of the property there is a detention basin that collects substances an materials from the property and collects all of the integrated water and it channels out through the pipes onto S 6th Ave.	
Exposed Refuse and Equipment Trash, dumpsters, dirt, and equipment observed on the lot are left uncovered and expose rainfall which send the residue off of the equipment either into the ditch to the grated inle to the detention basin and into the public storm drain system on S 6th Ave. The storage container on the property was observed to be rusted and uncovered from rainfall.		
Downspouts	The downspouts located along the East side of the building send rainwater from the spouts to the parking lot and into the ditch.	

ATTACHMENT 3: ALLEGED DATES OF QUALIFYING STORM EVENTS AT SOXNET'S FACILITY July 1, 2015 – June 5, 2017

Days with precipitation two-tenths of an inch or greater, as reported by NOAA's National Climatic Data Center, Station: Fullerton Municipal Airport, CA US GHCND:USQ00003166, when a stormwater discharge from the Facility is likely to have occurred. See, http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search.

2015	2016	2017
7/18	1/5	1/5
7/19	1/6	1/9
9/15	1/7	1/11
12/19	1/31	1/12
12/22	2/17	1/19
	3/6	1/20
1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	3/11	1/22
	10/17	2/6
	11/20	2/7
	11/21	2/10
	11/26	2/17
	12/15	5/7
	12/16	
	12/21	
	12/22	
	12/23	
	12/30	
	12/31	